

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/774,572	02/09/2004	Toshimasa Ochiai	800_122	8506		
25191 7	590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER			
BURR & BROWN			TRINH, MINH N			
PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE.	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
011410000,			3729			
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/17/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 07/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)		
			774,572	OCHIAI, TOSHIMASA		
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit		
		Min	h Trinh	3729		
	The MAILING DATE of this commu					
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MORE IN THE INTERPOLATION OF THE INTE	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will appl y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may a recognition of the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 Februa</i>	rv 2004.			
· _	•	2b)⊠ This actio				
3)	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex pai</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				•	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the	application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	m consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 9-13 is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examin	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in A	pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		received in this National Stage	ł	
	application from the Internation	•	` ,,			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	e certified copies not	received.		
Attachmen	, ,		_			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	OTO 040\		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date		
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (i nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .			nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/774,572

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochiai (6,719,569) in view of Li (6,146,151).

Ochiai discloses a product by process of manufacturing contact sheets, comprising the steps of: providing at least one elastic, electrically conductive sheet 10; forming an electrically conductive member 27 from each said at least one electrically conductive sheet, each said electrically conductive member 22 including a plurality of contact members 27's aligned in a first direction in a predetermined arrangement and joined to one another by a plurality of linking portions 2, 21, providing at least one elastic, electrically insulative base sheet 1a, 1b having a plurality of openings11 formed therein in a predetermined pattern corresponding to said predetermined arrangement of said contact members 22 of said electrically

Art Unit: 3729

conductive member (see Fig. 1), positioning and securing said electrically conductive member to at least one surface of said at least one base sheet such said contact members are positioned in said openings (see Fig. 1). Ochiai is silent about subjecting said contact members to a breaking off process to sever said linking portions and separate adjacent contact members from each other, wherein opposing severed faces of said linking portions are formed during said severing part of said subjecting step; and wherein the position of said linking portions prior to severing is such that said opposing severed faces are separated from each other. Li discloses the subjecting said contact members to a breaking off process to sever said linking portions and separate adjacent contact members from each other as discussed above where the contact member being separated by a tool such that the opposing severed faces of said linking portions are formed during said severing part of said subjecting step, and the configuration where the position of said linking portions prior to severing is such that said opposing severed faces are separated from each other that (see Figs.1, 6-7 and the discussion at col. 2, lines 1-12, col. 3, lines 10-15, and abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Li's teaching as described above onto the invention of Ochiai in order to facilitate the fabrication process by using the available techniques such as stamping as form a desired contact members that having the connection portions therefrom.

As applied to claims 2-3, the Li reference discloses limitations of these claims where subjecting includes bending (see Figs. 6-7, and the discussion at col. 2, lines 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,572 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

As applied to claims 4-5, noting Ochiai discloses plating of the conductive

member (see col. 12, lines 25-28).

As applied to claim 8, noting Li discloses the conductive sheet is copper alloy

which readable on the claimed beryllium copper of the instant application (see col. 2,

lines 48-50).

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochiai in

view of Li.

Regarding the size, shape configurations recited in claim 6. It would have been

an obvious matter of design choice to form a liking portion having its width as about its

thickness or in any size configurations, since such a modification would have involved a

mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as

being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/774,572

Art Unit: 3729

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mt 6/29/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER